Vacuum Ultraviolet Reflectance Spectra and Band Structures of Pyrites (FeS₂, CoS₂ and NiS₂) and NiO Measured with Synchrotron Radiation

Shigemasa SUGA, Kouichi INOUE, Masaki TANIGUCHI, Shik SHIN, Masami SEKI, Katsuaki SATO[†] and Teruo TERANISHI[†]

Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory, Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tanashi, Tokyo 188 Broadcasting Science Research Laboratories of Nippon Hoso Kyokai, Setagaya, Tokyo 157

(Received November 27, 1982)

Reflectance spectra of FeS_2 , CoS_2 , and NiS_2 pyrites have been measured in the photon energy region from 4 to 30 eV with synchrotron radiation and compared with the results of NiO and related compounds. Dielectric constants, optical constants, effective electron numbers as well as energy-loss functions are evaluated by means of the Kramers-Kronig analysis. Fine structures of the reflectance spectra are qualitatively interpreted providing information on band structures. Characteristic features of band structures related to the 3d electrons in the low-spin state are experimentally elucidated.

§1. Introduction

Among various transition metal compounds, transition metal pyrites have attracted much interest because of their variegated electrical, optical and magnetic properties. For example, CoS₂ shows ferromagnetism with Curie temperature of 116 K in contrast to the paramagnetism of FeS₂. Meanwhile, NiS₂ shows antiferroand weak ferro-magnetism.¹⁾ Besides, CoS₂ shows metallic conductivity, whereas FeS₂ and NiS₂ are semiconductors with the band gap energies of about 0.8 eV^{2} and 0.37 eV^{3} , respectively. So far optical studies of pyrites have been limited near the band gap and in low energy regions⁴) except for FeS₂.⁵) XPS⁶) and UPS7) photoelectron spectroscopy, however, has revealed valence band structures to some extent.

The purpose of the present research is to study their electronic structures related to the low-spin configuration and itinerancy of the 3d electrons by synchrotron radiation spectroscopy. Measurements have been performed between 4 and 30 eV, and the results are compared with recent band calculations. We have further discussed these results in comparison with that of NiO, which is known as a typical Mott insulator with a large band gap energy and antiferro-magnetism with Néel temperature of 525 K.

§2. Experimental

Single crystals of CoS_2 and NiS_2 were grown by chemical vapor transport technique with chlorine gas as a transport agent.⁸⁾ Untreated specular surfaces of CoS_2 and NiS_2 were used for reflectance measurements. As for FeS₂, we used a specular surface of a natural crystal obtained by polishing and etching. In addition, we measured reflectance of NiO and MgO single crystals, cleaved in air and in ultra-high vacuum, respectively.

We measured p-polarized reflectance spectra in the wavelength region from 3000 to 400 Å by use of a Im Seya-Namioka monochromator installed at the first beam line of SOR-RING (0.4 GeV electron storage ring), with a resolution of 3 Å. Data acquisition was performed by use of a microcomputer system equipped with a minifloppy disk handler. In this experiment, we used either a MgF₂ or a fused quartz filter to suppress the second order light.

§3. Results and Analysis

Figure 1(a) tbrough Fig. 1(c) show reflectance spectra of FeS_2 , CoS_2 , and NiS_2 with the

1849

Table I. Reflectance structures and the present interpretation for FeS₂, CoS₂ and NiS₂. The energies of the reflectance structures are given in eV units.

FcS2	CoS ₂	NiS ₂	
		0.8	$dy \rightarrow dy + p^*$
1.6	1.4	1.7	$de \rightarrow d\gamma + p^*$
		2.7	$dy \rightarrow dy + p^*$
4.0	3.2	3.8	$de \rightarrow dy + p^*$
5.1	6.0	5.8	
5.9			$p \rightarrow dy + p^{\circ}$
7.4	7.3	6.2	
9.2	8.9	7.6	
		8.2	
10.0	9.6	8.8	$p \rightarrow (s, p)$ conduction bands
		9.4	[
11.5	11.2	10.4	
12.4			
14.5	15.0	13.9	$s, s^{\bullet} \rightarrow d\gamma + p^{\bullet}$
16.5	16.5	15.8	
19.7	20.0	18.5	s, $s^* \rightarrow (s, p)$ conduction bands
		(eV)	The second second second second

pyrite crystal structure. Experimental conditions on temperature and incidence angle of light (α) are described in figure captions. The vertical lines indicate positions of observed structures for clarity purpose. These energies are summarized in Table I. Gross features of the reflectance spectra of CoS₂ and NiS₂ remained unchanged except for the reduced band width of several structures at liquid nitrogen temperature. The dashed curves in Fig. 1(a) and (c) show the reflectivity in the low energy region calculated from n (refractive index) and k (extinction coefficient) reported by Bither et al.4) The dashed curve in Fig. 1(b) shows the reflectivity which is carefully remeasured by one of the authors (K.S.). The present reflectance spectra are smoothly continued to these reflectivities in the low energy region. One notices a common feature in these spectra that the reflectivity considerably decreases above 20 eV. The dip of the reflectivity of CoS2 and NiS2 between 4 and 6 eV in comparison with the reflectivity of FeS₂ is another feature of the reflectance spectra of pyrites.

Figure 1(d) shows the reflectance spectrum of NiO with rocksalt structure. The dashed line shows the previous result in the low energy region.⁹⁾ The spectrum of MgO is added in this figure by the dot-dashed line (with an arbitrary scale of ordinate), since the absence of occupied 3d electrons in MgO is helpful for discussing the contribution from the incompletely filled 3d electrons to the reflectance spectrum of NiO. One recognizes that the reflectance structures of NiO between 8 and 20 eV are rather similar to those of MgO. In the case of NiO, more structures are resolved than the previous result by Powell and Spicer⁹ by virtue of the continuous synchrotron radiation source. As for MgO, the present result is not much different from the results previously reported.¹⁰

By means of the Kramers-Kronig transformation considering the finite incidence angle,¹¹ we have evaluated N_{eff} (effective electron number per molecule) of FeS₂, CoS₂, NiS₂ and NiO in Fig. 1(a) through 1(d). Figure 2 summarizes the energy-loss function $(-\text{Im}(1/\varepsilon))$. Likewise, we have shown dielectric constants $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ and optical constants (n, k) in Fig. 3(a) through 3(d).

§4. Discussion

In pyrite crystals with the space group symmetry of T_{h}^{6} , the transition metal ion (M²⁺) is surrounded by six S_2^{2-} molecules with the site symmetry represented by S6. In the simplest approximation, we consider that the M2+ ion is at the center of the six nearest neighbor sulphur atoms arranged octahedrally.5) Then the 3d orbitals of the M²⁺ ion are split into $de(t_{2a})$ and $dy(e_a)$ orbitals with the de levels in the lower energy region due to the smaller overlap with the ligand wave functions. Four $M^{2+}S_2^{2-}$ molecules are contained in a unit cell of pyrites. In rocksalt structures, the 3d orbitals are likewise split into de and dy oribtals in O_h crystal field. Rocksalt structures contain also four molecules in a unit cell.

4.1 Discussion on pyrites

Here we discuss the reflectance spectra of FeS_2 , CoS_2 and NiS_2 . In the first place, we notice that the spectra of $-Im(1/\epsilon)$ show peaks at 23.3, 23.0 and 21.8 eV for FeS_2 , CoS_2 and NiS_2 , respectively. Recent experiments on low-energy electron energy loss spectroscopy of these pyrites have provided bulk plasmon energies around 22.5, 23.5 and



2

0

20 9



10 photon_energy(e∀) (c)

.6

reflectivity

reflectivity

A

2

ᇈ

.2



Fig. 1. Reflectance spectra and N_{eff} evaluated from the Kramers-Kronig analysis. (a) reflectivity of FeS₂ at liquid nitrogen temperature measured at $\alpha = 9^{\circ}$. (b) reflectivity of CoS₂ at room temperature for $\alpha = 12.5^{\circ}$. (c) reflectivity of NiS₂ at room temperature for $\alpha = 22.5^{\circ}$. (d) reflectivity of NiO at liquid nitrogen temperature for $\alpha = 10.0^{\circ}$. The dot-dashed line shows the reflectance spectrum of MgO with an arbitrary scale.



Fig. 2. Energy-loss function $-\text{Im}(1/\varepsilon)$ evaluated from the Kramers-Kronig analysis. The results of CoS₂ and NiS₂ should be multiplied by 2.5.

24.0 eV.¹²) Therefore we can certainly assign the gradual decrease in the reflectivity of these materials above 20 eV to the plasma edge. The slight discrepancy between these two energies in the case of NiS₂ may be due to an underestimate of the reflectivity, possibly induced by an inappropriate continuation of the spectrum to the low energy data.

The band calculation of pyrites has been recently performed by *KKR* method,¹³⁾ where the density of states is also given by *LCAO* extrapolation. Figure 4(a), (b), and (c) show the density of states of FeS₂, CoS₂ and NiS₂, where the vertical arrows give the Fermi energies (E_F) . The characteristics of the calculated band structures of pyrites are summarized as follows:

1) In the lowest energy region are lying isolated bands mainly composed of bonding (s) and antibonding (s^*) orbitals of the S_2^{2-} 3s states.

2) Just below the Fermi level are placed occupied bands with small dispersion resulting from $M^{2+} 3d$ orbitals. In pyrites, the dy bands are considerably hybridized with S_2^{2-} antibonding p^* orbitals due to the covalency, in contrast to the nonbonding character of the $d\varepsilon$ bands. Since the 3d electrons of pyrites are in the low-spin configuration, the topmost filled band corresponds to $d\varepsilon$ bands in FeS₂ and to $dy + p^*$ hybridized bands are empty in FeS₂ and partially filled in CoS₂ and NiS₂.

3) Below these 3d bands are situated the p valence bands mainly composed of the p bonding orbitals of S_2^{2-} molecule. The upper







Fig. 4. Density of states of pyrites according to band calculation in non-magnetic phase by S. Asano [13]. (a) FeS_2 (b) CoS_2 and (c) NiS_2 .

parts of these p bands are hybridized with the M^{2+} 3d orbitals to some extent.

The XPS experiment has revealed the EDC structure related to the sulphur 3s orbitals around 13 eV^{6} below the top of the occupied band. Considering the small band gap of FeS_2 and NiS_2 and the metallic character of CoS_2 , the optical transition from the deep 3s valence bands to conduction bands will take place above 13 eV. The broad reflectance structures of these pyrites above 13 eV are most likely assigned to the transitions from these 3s bands. The dipole allowed transitions from sulphur 3s to sulphur 3p levels are observable in pyrites, because the antibonding $3p^*$ orbitals of the S_2^{2-} contribute much to the conduction bands. In addition, we can expect a considerable hybridization (covalency) of S_2^{2-} 3p orbitals with M^{2+} 3d (in particular, dy) orbitals near the band gap or band edge region. These results are the characteristic features of pyrites in contrast to ionic transition metal compounds such as mono-oxides.

Considering the high density of states of s and s^* bands and the p^* character of the conduction bands, the reflectance structures at 14.5 and 16.5 eV in FeS2, at 15.0 and 16.5 eV in CoS₂ and at 13.9 and 15.8 eV in NiS₂ are surely assigned to the $s, s^* \rightarrow dy + p^*$ transitions as proposed before. Hereafter we discuss energy positions of reflectance peaks, since the structures are less obvious in the ε_2 spectra. We consider that the splitting between the s and s^* bands corresponds to the observed splitting between these two structures. The origin of the faint structure in the highest energy region may be due to transitions to higher (s, p) conduction bands. According to this interpretation, the (s, p) conduction bands are situated around 5 eV above the $dy + p^*$ bands. The N_{eff} at 25 eV shown in Fig. 1 are much smaller than the values of 20, 21 and 22 expected for the electron configuration of $M^{2+}(3d^m)S_2^{2-}(3s^43p^{10})$ with m=6, 7 and 8 for FeS_2 , CoS_2 and NiS_2 . The increment of $N_{\rm eff}$ between 13 and 25 eV is, however, around 4 in support of the before-mentioned assignment of $s, s^* \rightarrow dy + p^*$ and (s, p) conduction bands in this energy region. These results also elucidate that the transitions from the p valence bands continue slightly beyond hv =25 eV and that the transitions from occupied d bands are not playing dominant contributions in the present spectra except for the low energy structures below 4 eV as shown below.

In the case of FeS_2 , the band structure is rather simple as demonstrated in Fig. 4(a), because the filled de bands are separated from the $dy + p^*$ conduction bands by the band gap. In this case the reflectance peak at 1.6 eV is assigned to the transition from the rather narrow de bands to the low energy region of the $dy + p^*$ bands. Considering the band width of the $dy + p^*$ conduction bands of about 2.5 eV, we assign the next reflectance peak at $4.0 \,\mathrm{eV}$ as well to the excitation from de to the high energy region of $dy + p^*$ bands. Taking the band widths of the p and $dy + p^*$ bands into account, the next reflectance structures of FeS₂ below 8.5 eV (the energy corresponding to a reflectance minimum) are most likely assigned to the $p \rightarrow dy + p^*$ excitation. This excitation is expected to continue down to around 3 eV (Fig. 4) in the lower energy region and to overlap with the beforementioned $d\epsilon \rightarrow d\gamma + p^*$ excitation.

In the case of CoS_2 , the peak at 1.4 eV has been assigned to $dz \rightarrow d\gamma + p^{*,8}$ According to the band structure shown in Fig. 4(b), the peak at 3.2 eV can be also assigned to the $d\varepsilon \rightarrow d\gamma + p^*$ excitation. Meanwhile the structures between 4 and 8 eV are interpreted as due to the $p \rightarrow dy + p^*$ excitation which may extend down to 3 eV. One may generally expect that the transition intensity from the p valence bands to the $dy + p^*$ conduction bands should decrease in accordance with the decrease of the number of the empty dy states. Thus the structures below 8 eV of FeS, and CoS₂ and the corresponding structures of NiS₂ below 7 eV are assigned to this transition, This is a characteristic manifestation of the low-spin configuration of 3d electrons in pyrites.

As for NiS₂, there occur remarkable dips of the density of states in the middle of the $d\gamma + p^*$ conduction bands and around E_F as shown in Fig. 4(c). In fact, NiS₂ has a small gap due to the electron correlation effect as well known for Mott insulators.^{1,3)} Thus, one expects that both $d\gamma \rightarrow d\gamma + p^*$ and $d\epsilon \rightarrow d\gamma + p^*$ excitations are separately observable in NiS₂. We are tempted to assign the reflectance structures reported at 0.8 and 2.7 eV⁴) to the $d\gamma \rightarrow d\gamma + p^*$ excitation and the structures at 1.7 and 3.8 eV to $d\epsilon \rightarrow d\gamma + p^*$ transition, respectively.

Since the dy character is dominant in the lower energy part of the $dy + p^*$ bands of CoS₂ and NiS₂,¹³¹ the $p \rightarrow dy + p^*$ excitation terminates in this part of the $dy + p^*$ conduction bands. The decrease in the energy of the reflectance minimum corresponding to the high energy limit of the $p \rightarrow dy + p^*$ excitation from FeS₂ to NiS₂ is partly due to the reduction of the total band width of the p valence bands in this order. Meanwhile, the low-spin configuration of the 3d electrons in pyrites suggests that the crystal field splitting energy 10Dq of Fe²⁺, Co²⁺ and Ni²⁺ is much larger than the corresponding value in high-spin materials. For example, it was evaluated for high-spin di-balide compounds as 1.28 eV, 1.04 eV and 1.02 eV.14) The crystal field splitting of the 3d electrons in pyrites is, however, very difficult to evaluate due to the considerable energy dispersion or band width of the $d\gamma$ bands caused by the hybridization with the p^* orbitals. 10Dq in pyrites are tentatively evaluated as 1.6 eV, 1.4 eV and 1.7 eV in FeS₂, CoS₂ and NiS₂, respectively, from the energies of the reflectance peak assigned to $d\epsilon \rightarrow d\gamma + p^*$ transition. In the case of FeS₂, 10Dq is better evaluated as 2.5 eV from the analysis of the multiplet structures due to the 3p core excitation.¹⁵⁾ The strong covalency of the $d\gamma$ and p^* orbitals is also ascertained by the evaluation of the reduction factors of the Slater-Condon parameters.¹⁵⁾

Between the high energy threshold of the $p \rightarrow d\gamma + p^*$ excitation and the low energy threshold of $s, s^* \rightarrow d\gamma + p^*$ excitation structures, fairly strong reflectance structures are observed in all pyrites. Considering the energy position and the band width of the reflectance structures, these transitions are most likely attributed to the excitation from the *p* valence bands to the (*s*, *p*) conduction bands. This assignment provides the energy position of the (*s*, *p*) conduction bands around $S \sim 7 \text{ eV}$ above the *de* band (Tahle I), which is consistent with the before-mentioned energy position of the (*s*, *p*) conduction bands.

4.2 Discussion on NiO

In the case of NiO, the O 2s band is placed about 21 eV below the top of the valence band according to the results of XPS measurement.¹⁶⁾ Besides, NiO is an insulator with a band gap energy of around 4 eV.¹⁷) Therefore, the strong reflectance structures of NiO observed in the energy region between 12 and 20 eV can not be assigned to the transitions resulting from the O 2s hands. Moreover, one expects smaller degree of hybridization between the O 2p and Ni3d orbitals in large gap NiO in comparison with narrow gap or metallic pyrites.¹⁸⁾ Therefore, an observation of the transition from the O 2s bands to the lowest conduction band is difficult in NiO according to the less component of the O 2p orbitals in the conduction bands. We have already pointed out that the gross feature of the strong reflectance structures of NiO and MgO between 8 and 20 eV is very similar to each other. The

reflectance structures around 13 and 18 eV are likewise observable in other II-VI oxide compounds such as CaO,¹⁹⁾ CdO, BeO and ZnO.²⁰⁾ Here CaO and CdO have rocksalt - structure and both BeO and ZnO have wurtzite structure. Among these crystals, BeO, MgO, and CaO have no occupied d level, whereas ZnO and CdO have completely filled 3d and 4d levels far below the top of the valence bands. Meanwhile, NiO has incompletely filled 3d levels at the top of the valence bands. The similarity of the reflectance structures around 13 and 18 eV observed in all of these materials irrespective of the occupation condition of the d-levels, however, suggests that the structures of NiO in this energy region can not be attributed to the transition from the Ni 3d occupied levels.

In Fig. 1(d), N_{eff} in NiO is evaluated to be around 4.2 at 25 eV, which is mucb smaller than $N_{eff} = 14$ expected from the electron configuration of Ni²⁺(3d⁸)O²⁻(2p⁶). This result elucidates that the transitions from O 2p and Ni 3d orbitals to conduction bands continue far beyond hv = 25 eV. In this regard, N_{eff} of MgO, CdO, and ZnO are evaluated as 4.4,¹¹, 5.4,²⁰ and $5 \sim 6^{20}$ at 25 eV, which are consistent with the present interpretation.

According to the pseudopotential band calculation of MgO,²¹⁾ the reflectance structures of MgO shown in Fig. 1(d) have been assigned to interband transitions characterized by O $2p \rightarrow (s, p)$ conduction bands excitations and accompanying excitons. Judging from the similarity of the reflectance structures of NiO with those of MgO, most part of the reflectance structures of NiO between 8 and 20 eV is likewise interpreted as due to $O 2p \rightarrow$ (s, p) conduction hands. This result shows that the band gap between the O 2p valence hands and the (s, p) conduction bands in NiO neglecting the Ni 3d orbitals is comparable to that of MgO. With respect to the structures of NiO below 8 eV, which have no counterpart in MgO, we consider the following possibilities: $^{9,22,23)}$ (1) transitions from the O 2p valence bands to the empty dy orbitals of Ni, (2) transitions from occupied $d\varepsilon$ and $d\gamma$ orbitals to the (s, p) conduction bands, (3) excitation of partially filled Ni²⁺ $3d^8$ electrons as $2(3d^8) \rightarrow 3d^9 + 3d^7$.

First, the structures of NiO around 6 and 7 eV are much weaker than the corresponding structures in MnO.²³⁾ Therefore, we prefer the first possibility (O $2p \rightarrow d\gamma$) for the interpretation of these structures in NiO, as in the case of pyrites. According to our recent investigation,^{24,18}) we should also consider the intra-atomic *d-d* correlation energy to explain the correct energy positions of these excitations.

Second, the structure at 4 eV in NiO can be assigned to the excitation from the occupied d levels to the bottom of the (s, p) conduction bands (second possibility as shown before) according to the recent band calculation.¹⁷⁾ The intensity of this transition is much larger than that in MnO with less population of the dlevels in the ground state, in support of the present interpretation.

As for the third possibility, the excitation energy strongly depends upon the *d*-*d* correlation energy.^{9,17,22,23)} It is very unlikely that this $d \rightarrow d$ excitation provides such a strong intensity as observed for the 4 eV peak, although it may appear in the present energy region as weak structures. Thus the present interpretation of the optical spectrum of NiO is consistent with those of pyrites.

§5. Conclusion

As a conclusion, we measured reflectance spectra of FeS₂, CoS₂, NiS₂ and NiO in the energy region between 4 and 30 eV by use of synchrotron radiation. The spectra are analyzed by the Kramers-Kronig transformation providing evaluation of dielectric constants, optical constants, energy-loss function as well as effective electron numbers. The whole reflectance structures below 30 eV of FeS₂, CoS₂ and NiS₂ are qualitatively interpreted on a basis of a recent band calculation. Controversial interpretation of reflectance spectra of various transition metal compounds are settled in pyrite compounds. The band structures due to the antibonding as well as bonding orbitals of 3s electrons of S₂ molecule are definitely resolved in reflectance spectra. The decrease in the reflectivity above 20 eV is identified as the plasma edge according to the evaluated ε_1 , ε_2 and $-\text{Im}(1/\varepsilon)$. The reflectance structures in the lower energy region are S. SUGA, K. INOUE, M. TANIOUCHI, S. SHIN, M. SEKI, K. SATO and T. TERANISHI

assigned as follows:

1) Transitions from the *p*-like valence bands to higher conduction bands with *s* character correspond to the structures between 8 and 13 eV in FeS₂ and CoS₂ and between 7 and 13 eV in NiS₂. These transitions correspond to the structures between 8 and 20 eV in NiO.

2) Transitions from the *p*-like valence bands to the lowest conduction bands characterized by $dy + p^*$ hybridized orbitals, correspond to the structures between 3 and 8 eV in FeS₂ and CoS₂ and between 3 and 7 eV in NiS₂. The decrease of the transition intensity of this excitation in the order of FeS₂, CoS₂ and NiS₂ is a characteristic feature of the low-spin configuration of the 3*d* electrons in pyrites.

3) Transitions from the de valence bands to the lowest conduction bands are attributed to the structures below around 4 eV in FeS₂, CoS₂ and NiS₂.

In order to derive direct information about valence band structures, an angle resolved UPS experiment will be very fruitful. In addition, inner core excitation spectroscopy of these pyrites provides further information about band structures, covalency and final state interactions in the excited states.

Acknowledgment

The authors are much obliged to Professor H. Kanzaki and colleagues of Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory and to the staff of Computer Facility of Institute for Nuclear Study for continuous supports during the experiment. They are grateful to Dr. K. Ohsumi of Department of Mineralogy, The University of Tokyo for providing us single crystal FeS₂. They thank Professor S. Asano for allowing us to cite the results of his band calculation before publication. This work was partly supported by Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research (Grant No. 354072) of the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan.

References

- S. Ogawa: J. Appl. Phys. 50 (1979) 2308;
 S. Ogawa: Solid State Phys. 12 (1977) 657 [in Japanese]; K. Adachi: *ibid.* 10 (1975) 101 [in Japanese].
- W. W. Kou and M. S. Seehra: Phys. Rev. B18 (1978) 7062.
- R. L. Kautz, M. S. Dresselhaus, D. Adier and A. Linz; Phys. Rev. B6 (1972) 2078.
- T. A. Bither, R. J. Bouchard, W. H. Cloud, P. C. Donohue and W. J. Siemons: Inorg. Chem. 7 (1968) 2208.
- A. Schlegel and P. Wachter: J. Phys. C9 (1976) 3363.
- A. Ohsawa, H. Yamamoto and H. Watanabe: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 37 (1974) 568.
- E. K. Li, K. H. Johnson, D. E. Eastman and J. L. Freeouf: Phys. Rev. Lett. 32 (1974) 470.
- K. Sato and T. Teranishi; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 50 (1981) 2069.
- R. J. Powell and W. E. Spicer: Phys. Rev. B2 (1970) 2182.
- D. M. Roessler and W. C. Walker: Phys. Rev. 159 (1967) 733; M. W. Williams and E. T. Arakawa; J. Appl. Phys. 38 (1967) 5272.
- CPC Program, ACKD, Institute for Nuclear Study, The University of Tokyo.
- 12) K. Sato et al.; to be published.
- 13) S. Asano: private communication.
- 14) S. Shin, S. Suga, M. Taniguchi, H. Kanzaki, S. Shibuya and T. Yamaguchi: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 51 (1982) 906.
- 15) S. Suga, M. Taniguchi, S. Shin, M. Scki, S. Shibuya, K. Sato and T. Yamaguchi: Proc. 16th Int. Conf. Phys. Semicond. Montpellier, 1981, Physica 117B & 118B (1983) 353.
- 16) G. K. Wertheim and S. Hufner: Phys. Rev. Lett. 28 (1972) 1028.
- 17) A, B, Kunz; J, Phys. C14 (1981) L455.
- 18) S. Suga, S. Shin, M. Taniguchi, K. Inoue, M. Seki, I. Nakada, S. Shibuya and T. Yamaguchi: Phys. Rev. B25 (1982) 5486.
- 19) R. C. Whited and W. C. Walker: Phys. Rev. 188 (1969) 1380.
- J. L. Freeouf: Phys. Rev. B7 (1973) 3810.
- C. Y. Fong, W. Saslow and M. L. Cohen: Phys. Rev. 168 (1968) 992.
- 22) C. R. Catlow and D. G. Muxworthy: Philos. Mag. B37 (1978) 63.
- L. Measick, W. C. Walker and R. Glosser: Phys. Rev. B6 (1972) 3941.
- 24) S. Shin, S. Suga, M. Taniguchi, M. Seki, H. Kanzaki, Y. Ueda, K. Kosuge and S. Kachi: to be published.

1856